

Use of multi-element stable isotope ratios to investigate ontogenetic movements of *Micropogonias furnieri* in a tropical Brazilian estuary

Pizzochero, Ana Carolina; Michel, Loic N.; Chenery, Simon; McCarthy, Ian; Vianna, Marcelo; Malm, Olaf; Lepoint, Gilles; Das, Krishna; Dorneles, Paulo R.

Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences

DOI:

[10.1139/cjfas-2017-0148](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0148)

Published: 01/06/2018

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Pizzochero, A. C., Michel, L. N., Chenery, S., McCarthy, I., Vianna, M., Malm, O., Lepoint, G., Das, K., & Dorneles, P. R. (2018). Use of multi-element stable isotope ratios to investigate ontogenetic movements of *Micropogonias furnieri* in a tropical Brazilian estuary. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 75(6), 977-986. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0148>

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Title: Use of multi-element stable isotope ratios to investigate ontogenetic movements of
2 *Micropogonias furnieri* in a tropical Brazilian estuary

3
4 Authors: Ana Carolina Pizzochero^{1*}, Loïc N. Michel², Simon R. Chenery³, Ian D.
5 McCarthy⁴, Marcelo Vianna⁵, Olaf Malm¹, Gilles Lepoint², Krishna Das², Paulo R.
6 Dorneles¹.

7
8 ¹ Biophysics Institute, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Brazil

9 ² Laboratory of Oceanology, FOCUS research unit, University of Liege, Liege, Belgium

10 ³ British Geological Survey (BGS), Kingsley Dunham Center, Nottingham, United Kingdom

11 ⁴ School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University (BU), Wales, United Kingdom

12 ⁵ Biology Institute, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Brazil

13
14 *Corresponding author:

15 Ana Carolina Pizzochero

16 Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ)

17 Centro de Ciências da Saúde (CCS)

18 Instituto de Biofísica Carlos Chagas Filho (IBCCF)

19 Laboratório de Radioisótopos Eduardo Penna Franca (LREPF)

20 Avenida Carlos Chagas Filho, 373 (Edifício do CCS), sala G0-62

21 Cidade Universitária, 21941-902, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

22 Tel.: +55 21 25615339, Fax: +55 21 22808193

23 E-mail: pizzochero@biof.ufrj.br, pizzocheroac@gmail.com

24

Abstract

The whitemouth croaker, *Micropogonias furnieri*, is a long-lived fish of high commercial importance in the Western Atlantic Ocean. Here, we used stable isotope ratios of carbon, sulfur and nitrogen and isotopic niche metrics (SIBER) to study feeding habits and track habitat use by whitemouth croakers in Guanabara Bay, an estuary in Rio de Janeiro state, Brazil. Our results highlighted size-related habitat segregation, with small juvenile (< 30 cm) fishes residing mostly inside estuaries, while large adult (> 60 cm) fishes feed mainly in Continental Shelf (CS) waters. Medium adult fishes (30-60 cm) appear to feed in multiple coastal and CS habitats. Moreover, their feeding ecology showed strong temporal differences, linked with seasonal and, to a lesser extent, inter-annual variation in oceanographic features of the ecosystem in which they live. Overall, these differences in ecological features suggest that (1) adult and juvenile whitemouth croakers should be treated as different components of the food web and (2) the conservation of these habitats should be prioritized to better manage and sustain the coastal fisheries in Guanabara Bay.

Keywords: whitemouth croaker, Guanabara Bay, Brazilian estuary, SIBER, isotopic niche.

1. Introduction

The Sciaenidae, popularly known as croakers or drums due to the sound they produce using muscles associated with the swim bladder, are a widely distributed fish family throughout the world (Nelson, 2006). Croakers constitute commercially important resources in the Western Atlantic Ocean, and are the major fishery resource in Brazil, as they represent 22% of marine

and 9% of freshwater fish landings (Chao *et al.*, 2015). The whitemouth croaker, *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823) (Perciformes, Sciaenidae) is widely distributed in coastal waters of the Western Atlantic Ocean, occurring from the Yucatán Peninsula (Mexico, 28° N) to the Gulf of San Matías (Argentina, 41° S) (Isaac, 1988; Vazzoler, 1991). However, despite its wide latitudinal distribution, this species becomes abundant from Rio de Janeiro state at 23° S latitude southwards to the shelf of Uruguay (Vazzoler, 1991). In Brazil, it constitutes one of the main demersal resources with an average annual catch of 42,000 metric tons between 2000 and 2015 (Chao *et al.*, 2015; FAO, 2017). In addition, the species also represents one of the most important fishery resources in coastal demersal fisheries in Uruguay and Argentina (Vasconcellos and Haimovici, 2006; FAO, 2017).

The whitemouth croaker occurs in coastal waters over large range of salinities (0.1 to 35) and temperature (11 to 31.6°C) (Vazzoler, 1991; Carneiro *et al.*, 2005), inhabiting over sandy and muddy bottoms, mainly at depths of up to 50 m and occasionally to 100 m (Carneiro *et al.*, 2005). The population distribution of whitemouth croaker in the southeastern and southern regions of Brazil has been investigated since the 1970s. Studies on morphometric and biological features (Vazzoler, 1991; Puchnick-Legat and Levy, 2006) and population genetics using protein allozymes (Vazzoler *et al.*, 1985; Vazzoler and Phan, 1989) have suggested the presence of two separate populations: one located between 23°S and 29°S (Population I - southeast), and another, between 29° S and 33° S (Population II - South) (Vazzoler, 1971, 1991; Isaac, 1988). Recent genetic work using polymorphic nuclear loci (microsatellite and intron size polymorphisms) have confirmed clear differences between the two populations, reinforcing that they should be considered as distinct stocks for management purposes (Vasconcellos *et al.*, 2015).

Several aspects of the whitemouth croaker's life history have been well studied in population II, including its food and feeding habits, age and growth, reproductive biology and length

distribution (Muelbert and Weiss, 1991; Macchi *et al.*, 2003; Albuquerque *et al.*, 2009, 2010, 2012; Olsson *et al.*, 2013; Costa *et al.*, 2014). Studies on the movement patterns of population II have shown that seasonal migrations by adults to open water following the displacement of the convergence between the Brazilian and Falkland currents, with higher densities between 31° and 35°S in the summer and between 27° and 31°S in winter (Isaac, 1988). In addition to these seasonal migrations, in temperate regions of Brazil (population II), whitemouth croaker displays ontogenetic habitat shifts being estuarine-dependent during early life stages, while adults can spawn in the estuaries or in shallow coastal areas (Mendoza-Carranza and Vieira, 2008; Albuquerque *et al.*, 2012; Costa *et al.*, 2014). Most fish species undertake movement patterns during their life cycle and defining these ontogenetic movements plays a key role in understanding their ecology, which is important for both conservation and exploitation management purposes. Although knowledge has been generated on whitemouth croaker biology in Brazilian waters (Population II), information is still scarce for population I, whose distribution includes Rio de Janeiro state (RJ) (Isaac, 1988; Vazzoler, 1991).

In order to investigate ontogenetic movements in fishes, a diversity of approaches can be used varying from abundance and size frequency distributions to methods using artificial tags and natural tags *i.e.* trace elements and stable isotopes (Gillanders *et al.*, 2003; Gillanders, 2009). Although information obtained from natural tags can be more equivocal to interpret than that from artificial tags, the use of natural tags has increased in recent years, since this approach is suitable for use with early larval stages and small specimens as well as larger fish (Thorrold *et al.*, 2002; Reis-Santos *et al.*, 2015).

Stable isotope ratios (SIR) of light biogenic elements have proved to be valuable tools for the study of trophic relationships in marine ecosystems, as well as providing insights into animal migration (Hobson, 1999; Das *et al.*, 2000; Kolasinski *et al.*, 2009; Botto *et al.*, 2011; MacKenzie *et al.*, 2011; Bisi *et al.* 2012, 2013). SIR serve as natural tags, as their natural

distribution reflect and integrate the history of physical and metabolic processes of the environment (Peterson and Fry, 1987; Herzka, 2005). The isotopic ratios of carbon (typically expressed as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$) are used to establish the sources of organic matter that support food webs (McCutchan *et al.*, 2003). The fractionation of carbon isotopes during photosynthesis by the producers of the food web helps to identify food sources originating from different systems, *i.e.* terrestrial versus marine, coastal versus oceanic, or benthic versus pelagic (Peterson and Fry, 1987; Boutton, 1991). Also, sulfur isotope ratios ($\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values) can be used as a second tracer of organic matter sources that is independent of the carbon isotopic distribution (Connolly *et al.*, 2004). Nitrogen stable isotope ratio ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values) can also be used to trace organic matter sources, but are more commonly applied to provide information on the position occupied by a species in a trophic web, as nitrogen isotopes show predictable stepwise increases in values from prey to consumer (DeNiro and Epstein, 1981). Through the measurement of stable isotopes of carbon, nitrogen and sulfur, this study aimed to investigate differences in spatial distribution patterns among three size classes of whitemouth croaker in Guanabara Bay estuary, an important fishery area in Rio de Janeiro state (RJ). Defining the preferred habitats of the different whitemouth croaker size classes within the estuary may help to understand ontogenetic movement patterns during their life cycle, providing information for more efficient management of the fishery.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area

The Rio de Janeiro state (RJ) coast, in southeastern Brazil, is under high anthropogenic pressure because it is an important urban and industrial center for Brazil (Molisani *et al.*, 2007; Baptista-Neto *et al.*, 2013). Despite the anthropogenic disturbance, RJ ranks in third

place amongst Brazilian marine fisheries, with the whitemouth croaker constituting one of the most important target species in the state (FIPERJ, 2015).

The Guanabara Bay (GB) (22°03' to 22°41' S / 43°01' to 43°16' W; Fig. 1– IBGE, 2016), located within the metropolitan area of RJ, has remarkable ecological, social and economical importance for the Brazilian southeastern region. Despite the impact of diverse anthropogenic activities, including input of sewage and industrial waste in its drainage basin, GB is one of the most species-rich tropical estuarine ecosystems on the Brazilian coast and continues to sustain important fisheries and a large number of fishermen (Jablonski *et al.*, 2006; Dorneles *et al.*, 2013; Silva-Jr *et al.*, 2016). GB has a total surface area of 384 km² extending a maximum distance of 28 km on its east-west axis and 30 km on its north-south axis, with depths ranging from less than a meter in marginal regions to more than 50 meters in the central channel. The bay holds about two billion cubic meters of water, with water volume maintained by the inflow from 91 rivers and channels, in addition to the exchange with the Atlantic Ocean through the narrow bay entrance in semi-diurnal tide cycles with an amplitude of up to 1.4 meters (Kjerfve *et al.*, 1997; Catanzaro *et al.*, 2004).

2.2 Sampling

Ethical approval was not required for this study as all sampled whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*) samples were obtained from commercial fishery landings in Guanabara Bay. Total length (TL) was used to divide the samples into different size classes. Whitemouth croaker from population I are reported to reach first sexual maturity (*i.e.* L₅₀ - length at which 50% of the fish are mature) at 27.5 cm (Vazzoler, 1991), however, studies from different estuaries along the southeastern Brazilian coast have reported a range of L₅₀ values from 26.9 to 36.9 cm (Carneiro *et al.*, 2005; Santos *et al.*, 2015). Thus, a TL of 30 cm was used in the present study as the approximate length for separating fish into juveniles (<

30 cm) or adults (≥ 30 cm). In addition, a third size class was also used for the larger adult fish (> 60 cm) caught in the outer part of GB, since they exceed the theoretical maximum size from population I (Vazzoler, 1971).

In addition to sourcing fish from commercial fishers, small specimens (< 30 cm) were collected by a bottom otter trawler dedicated to shrimp catching from December to March, *i.e.* during the austral summer (expressed from now on as summer) in 2013 and 2014, as well as from July to August, *i.e.* during the austral winter (expressed from now on as winter) in 2014. These correspond to the two main seasons in RJ, *i.e.* the warm rainy season and the cold dry season, respectively (Paranhos and Mayr, 1993). Each individual was weighed, measured and dissected. The aliquots sampled were kept frozen (-20°C) until analysis.

Fishes were separated into groups according to sampling season (S: summer, W: winter), sampling year (2013 or 2014), and size class (S: < 30 cm, M: 30-60 cm, L: > 60 cm) and the number of specimens (n) in each group are presented in Table 1.

2.3 Stable isotope measurements

Dorsal white muscle samples were oven-dried over 3 days to constant weight at 60°C before being ground into a homogeneous powder using mortar and pestle. Circa 4 mg of dry, powdered material were used for stable isotope analysis. Measurements of stable isotope ratios were performed via continuous flow - elemental analysis - isotope ratio mass spectrometry (CF-EA-IRMS) at the Laboratory for Oceanology, University of Liege (Belgium), using a vario MICRO cube C-N-S elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GMBH, Hanau, Germany) coupled to an IsoPrime100 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime, Cheadle, United Kingdom). Isotopic ratios were expressed using the widespread delta (δ) notation (Coplen, 2011). Sucrose (IAEA-C-6; $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -10.8 \pm 0.47\text{‰}$; mean \pm SD), ammonium sulfate (IAEA-N-2; $\delta^{15}\text{N} = 0.40 \pm 0.20\text{‰}$; mean \pm SD) and silver

sulfide (IAEA-S-1; $\delta^{34}\text{S} = -0.30\text{‰}$) were used as certified reference materials for measurement of stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen and sulfur, respectively. All these reference materials (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria) are calibrated against the international references Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (for carbon), Atmospheric Air (for nitrogen) and Vienna Canyon Diablo Troilite (for sulfur). Standard deviations on multi-batch replicate measurements of internal lab standards (Sulfanilic acid and animal muscle tissue) analyzed interspersed with samples (one replicate of each standard every 15 analyses) were 0.2‰ for both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and 0.4‰ for $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ respectively.

2.4 Statistical analyses and data processing

Fishes were grouped according to sampling location, season, year and size class. (see Table 1). Inter-group differences in carbon, nitrogen and sulfur stable isotope ratios were investigated through multiple comparisons. Since Shapiro-Wilk normality tests revealed that several datasets did not follow a Gaussian distribution, non-parametric procedures were applied, *i.e.* Mann-Whitney U test when 2 groups were compared and Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunn's post hoc test when 3 groups were compared. All the tests were performed using the statistical software GraphPad Prism 5.0.

For fish groups with $n \geq 6$, ecological niches were explored using the SIBER (Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R) method (Jackson *et al.*, 2011). This approach involves the use of standard ellipses (bivariate equivalent of standard deviation; Jackson *et al.*, 2011) to define isotopic niches, *i.e.* the space occupied by an animal population in a bivariate isotopic space. Since variation in the isotopic composition of animals (*i.e.* position of points in the isotopic space) is driven by both consumed prey items (Jackson *et al.*, 2011; Layman and Allgeier, 2012) and habitat use (Flaherty and Ben-David, 2010), this isotopic niche can be used as a proxy of the realized ecological niche. Size and position of ellipses carry complementary

information about animal ecology. A larger ellipse suggest that an animal population commonly uses more trophic and habitat resources. Overlap between ellipses associated with different populations suggests that these populations partly exploit the same food and/or habitat resources. The bigger the overlap, the more resources are shared by the two populations. Here, SIBER 2.0.3 was run in the R 3.2.2 statistical environment (R Core Team, 2015). Two separate sets of ellipses were constructed: one using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ data, and another using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ data. The areas of all ellipses were estimated using the SEA_c correction for small sample sizes, as outlined in Jackson *et al.* (2011). The areas of these ellipses were also estimated using Bayesian modelling (SEA_B , 10^6 iterations), and direct inter-group pairwise comparisons of SEA_B were performed. Model solutions were presented using credibility intervals of probability density function distributions. Pairwise comparisons were considered meaningful when probability of occurrence exceeded 95%.

3. Results

3.1 Stable isotopes ratios of carbon, nitrogen, sulfur in whitemouth croaker.

There were no significant differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values between winter and summer 2014 for the small size class (*Mann-Whitney* test, $p > 0.05$; Fig. 2A) or for the medium size class between all three sampling periods (*Kruskal-Wallis*, $p > 0.05$; Fig. 2A). As individuals from the large class were only sampled in winter 2014, interseasonal comparisons between sampling periods were not possible for this group. Significant differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values were found between size classes in Guanabara Bay with less ^{13}C -depleted values recorded for small whitemouth croaker in winter 2014 ($-15.2 \pm 0.89 \text{ ‰}$; Dunn's test, $p < 0.05$) and summer 2014 ($-15.3 \pm 1.00\text{‰}$; *Mann-Whitney* test, $p < 0.05$) (Fig.2A).

The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ data for whitemouth croaker in Guanabara Bay indicated that small and medium fish were ^{15}N -enriched in summer compared to winter 2014. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for small fish in summer 2014 were significantly higher than in winter 2014 (*Mann-Whitney* test, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 2B) The same pattern of ^{15}N enrichment in summer was also observed for the medium size class when comparing the three sampling periods in Guanabara Bay (*Kruskal-Wallis*, $p < 0.05$), however, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were significantly higher in summer 2013 ($16.6 \pm 0.65\text{‰}$) than in summer 2014 ($14.0 \pm 0.77\text{‰}$) (Dunn's test; $p < 0.05$; Fig. 2B). Comparisons between the different size classes within the same sampling period demonstrated that the smallest whitemouth croaker were significantly ^{15}N -depleted in comparison to medium and large specimens (winter: Dunn's test, $p < 0.05$; summer: *Mann-Whitney* test, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 2B). Examination of the sulfur isotope data demonstrated that fish from summer 2014 presented significantly higher $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values than those from winter 2014. This pattern was observed both for small (summer 2014: $14.5 \pm 1.17\text{‰}$; winter 2014: $13.5 \pm 1.05\text{‰}$; *Mann-Whitney* test, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 2C) and medium size classes (summer 2013: $14.5 \pm 0.60\text{‰}$; winter 2014: $13.8 \pm 1.97\text{‰}$; summer 2014: $15.0 \pm 0.85\text{‰}$; Dunn's test, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 2C). Although the large fish were only sampled in winter 2014, they showed significantly higher $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values compared to small and medium size classes from the same sampling season (Dunn's test, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 2C).

3.2 Stable isotope ellipses - relative positions

SIBER results suggested that the core isotopic niche of medium whitemouth croakers sampled in summer 2013 was markedly separated from any other group when looking at C & N ellipses (Fig. 3A, black ellipse), but was strongly overlapping with most groups when considering the C and S ellipses (Fig. 3B). When compared with C and S ellipses associated with the same size class (*i.e* medium fish), the summer 2013 ellipse was completely included

within the winter 2014 ellipse (Fig. 3B, green ellipse), and overlapping for 0.72 ‰² (*i.e.* 79% of its area) with summer 2014 (Fig. 3B, blue ellipse). The overlap between medium fish sampled in winter and summer 2014 was considerable for both C & N (1.42 ‰², *i.e.* 53% of the smallest ellipse area; Fig. 3A, green and blue ellipses) and C & S (2.45 ‰², *i.e.* 63% of the smallest ellipse area; Fig. 3B, green and blue ellipses) core isotopic niches. The C & N ellipses for the small size class in winter (Fig. 3A, red ellipse) and summer 2014 (Fig. 3A, grey ellipse) were strongly overlapping (2.69 ‰², *i.e.* 78% of the smallest ellipse area). Overlap between isotopic niches for small fishes between seasons was also present, to a lesser extent, for C & S ellipses (overlap: 1.40 ‰², *i.e.* 43% of the smallest ellipse area; Fig. 3B, red and grey ellipses). In winter 2014, both C & N (Fig. 3A) and C & S (Fig. 3B) ellipses of small (red ellipses) and medium (green ellipses) fish showed considerable overlap (1.87 ‰² or 40% of the smallest ellipse area for C & N and 1.92 ‰² or 59% of the smallest ellipse area for C & S respectively; Fig. 3). This trend seemed less strong in summer 2014, where overlap between small (grey ellipses) and medium (blue ellipses) fish was more moderate (0.58 ‰² or 22% of the smallest ellipse area for C & N and 1.76 ‰² or 46% of the smallest ellipse area for C & S respectively; Fig. 3). In winter 2014, overlap between isotopic niches of small (red ellipses) and large (orange ellipses) fish were very low for C & N ellipses (0.08 ‰² or 4% of the smallest ellipse area; Fig. 3A) and nil for C & S ellipses (Fig. 3B) respectively. Finally, in the same season, ellipses associated with medium (green) and large (orange) fish were strongly overlapping when looking at C & N data (1.72 ‰² or 82% of the smallest ellipse area; Fig. 3A), but much more separated when looking at C & S data (overlap: 0.59 ‰² or 21% of the smallest ellipse area; Fig. 3B).

3.3 Standard ellipse areas

Areas of the standard ellipses associated with each fish group varied widely, with SEAc values ranging from 0.57 ‰² (C & N ellipse of medium fishes from winter 2014; Fig. 3A, Fig. 4A) to 11.15 ‰² (C & S ellipse of medium fishes from winter 2014; Fig. 3B, Fig. 4B). Medium fishes from winter 2014 had the widest isotopic niche by far, with a larger niche than any other group in nearly all model solutions (99.80% of model solutions for C & N data, > 99.99% of model solutions for C & S data; Fig. 4). Interestingly, the model suggested that the isotopic niche of medium fishes sampled in summer was bigger in 2014 than in 2013 for both C & N and C & S data (> 99.99% of model solutions in each case; Fig. 4). The C and N isotopic niche of small fishes was larger in winter 2014 than in summer 2014 in 99.81% of model solutions (Fig. 4A), but this trend was not seen in C and S niches, as the winter ellipse was only bigger in 54.22% of model solutions (Fig. 4B). Differences in niche size between size classes were observed for winter 2014, as medium fish had a bigger ellipse than small and large ones for both C & N and C & S data in over 99.80% of model solutions. In addition, small fish in winter 2014, had a larger isotopic niche than large fish in 97.24% of model runs built using C & N data (Fig. 4A), but this trend was not observed in C & S niches (only 54.22% of model solutions). Finally, the converse pattern to that observed in winter 2014, was found in summer 2014 with no inter-size classes differences in niche size observed, as SEA of small and medium fish differed in only 50.75% and 71.35% of model solutions for C & N and C & S data, respectively (Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

According to Herzka (2005), the isotopic composition of an individual can provide a record of its migration history, constituting also a valuable tool to distinguish subpopulations of the same species that vary in their patterns of habitat use and migration. Overall, comparisons of

299 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ data between size classes highlighted that the whitemouth croaker
300 (*Micropogonias furnieri*) displays size-related habitat segregation in Guanabara Bay (GB).
301 The whitemouth croaker is a partial spawner that reproduces year-round (Isaac-Nahum and
302 Vazzoler, 1987; Vazzoler, 1991), and juvenile whitemouth croakers are regularly present in
303 GB throughout the whole year (Andrade-Tubino *et al.*, 2009). Studies on whitemouth croaker
304 distribution have shown that juveniles (S: < 30 cm) are mostly present inside the estuaries
305 (Andrade-Tubino *et al.*, 2009; Mulato *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the ^{13}C -enriched values presented
306 by juvenile whitemouth croaker (Fig. 2A) may be the result of feeding mostly inside GB.
307 Dissimilarities in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values are usually associated with differences in energy sources
308 between distinct food webs with benthic and inshore trophic chains typically ^{13}C -enriched
309 compared to pelagic and offshore ones (Peterson and Fry, 1987; Herzka, 2005). Stable
310 isotope studies focusing on Guanabara Bay are scarce, particularly regarding potential
311 whitemouth croaker prey items and/or other fish species. Nevertheless, previous studies
312 focusing on the isotopic composition of the particulate organic matter (POM) have found ^{13}C -
313 enriched POM in Guanabara bay, compared to coastal areas (Kalas *et al.*, 2009; Martins *et*
314 *al.*, 2016). In addition, more ^{13}C -enriched values are expected to occur in eutrophic estuaries
315 with a predominance of autochthonous organic matter, such as Guanabara Bay (Kalas *et al.*,
316 2009). Moreover a previous study on the trophic relationships among organisms in GB,
317 focusing on the feeding habits of Guiana dolphins (*Sotalia guianensis*), has found ^{13}C -
318 depleted values in marine fish, *i.e.* *Paralichthys brasiliensis* ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = -17.8 \pm 0.7 \text{ ‰}$ in
319 winter, $-16.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ ‰}$ in summer) and *Porichthys porosissimus* ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = -18.9 \pm 0.5 \text{ ‰}$ in
320 winter, $-17.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ ‰}$ in summer), compared with benthic invertebrates (*Litopenaeus schmitti*;
321 $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -15.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ ‰}$ in winter, $-15.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ ‰}$ in summer) (Bisi *et al.*, 2012). The
322 abovementioned marine fish species feed on zoobenthos and use GB opportunistically as a
323 nursery area (Elliot *et al.*, 2007; Silva-Jr *et al.*, 2016). Thus, according to Bisi *et al.* (2012),

the primary carbon source for these fishes are probably from a neritic food web outside GB. Here, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of small juvenile fishes was comparable with one of the benthic invertebrates sampled by Bisi *et al.* (2012), while adult whitemouth croakers were more ^{13}C -depleted (Fig. 2A). This could indicate that small fishes mostly feed in the inner part of the bay, while adult fishes gradually start to use coastal shelf areas as feeding habitats.

$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values have been used in studies in marine food webs, with special focus on trophic level assessment (Das *et al.*, 2000; Boecklen *et al.*, 2011; Botto *et al.*, 2011; Bisi *et al.*, 2012, 2013; Middelburg, 2014). Studies on stomach contents analysis have shown whitemouth croaker to feed on a wide range of taxonomic groups, with ingested prey varying in accordance to species availability in each area (Mendoza-Carranza and Vieira, 2008; Morasche *et al.*, 2010; Denadai *et al.*, 2015). In addition, ontogenetic diet shifts between life stages are observed with juveniles commonly feeding on polychaetes and shrimps whilst crustaceans, polychaetes, molluscs, and small fish are the most frequent prey consumed by adult whitemouth croaker (Vazzoler 1991, Figueiredo and Vieira 2005, Morasche *et al.* 2010; Olsson *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, the lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values observed in the smallest (< 30 cm) whitemouth croaker in the present study could be linked to ontogenetic changes in trophic position and feeding habits, thus corroborating the earlier studies, which were based on stomach content analysis.

There is usually only a small isotopic fractionation for S with changes in trophic level (Peterson and Fry 1987; McCutchan *et al.* 2003; Herzka, 2005). However, producers that predominantly utilize seawater sulfates (*e.g.* phytoplankton $\sim +20\text{‰}$ $\delta^{34}\text{S}$) tend to be ^{34}S -enriched, while those organisms that use sulfate available from precipitation (*e.g.* upland plants $\sim +2$ to $+8\text{‰}$ $\delta^{34}\text{S}$) or other sources formed by bacterial sulfate reduction in anaerobic sediments ($\sim -24\text{‰}$ $\delta^{34}\text{S}$) show lower $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values (Peterson and Howarth, 1987; Thode, 1991; Connolly *et al.*, 2004). The $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values recorded in the largest (≥ 60 cm) whitemouth croaker

349 sampled might indicate that they feed less inside GB, and instead rely on prey living in
350 continental shelf (CS) waters (*i.e.* where S cycling in water column also determines the S
351 isotopic composition of primary producers). Interestingly, in winter 2014 (*i.e.* the only season
352 in which all size classes could be sampled), standard ellipses (Fig. 3) showed partial overlap
353 between isotopic niches of small and medium fish, as well as between niches of medium and
354 large fish, however, little to no overlap was observed between isotopic niches of the small
355 and large fishes. Caution has to be exercised when interpreting isotopic niche parameters of
356 large fishes, as this group's sample size was small, which can generate uncertainty in some
357 ellipse-based metrics (Syvaranta *et al.* 2013). Nevertheless, this finding is consistent with an
358 ontogenetic movement pattern related to feeding, where juveniles feed mostly inside the
359 estuaries and large adults feed mostly in CS waters, with medium-sized adults forming a
360 transition group that feeds in both habitats, which is supported by the much larger isotopic
361 niche observed for medium fish in winter 2014 (Fig. 4). Although it is generally used as a
362 proxy of the trophic niche, the isotopic niche actually reflects variability in stable isotope
363 ratios caused by both bionomic (related to consumed resources) and scenopoetic (related to
364 habitat) factors (Newsome *et al.*, 2007; Flaherty and Ben-David 2010). In this context, a fish
365 group that feeds over multiple habitats is expected to have a large isotopic niche. This spatial
366 distribution, in which the smaller individuals remain in the inner part of the estuary and larger
367 ones concentrate themselves in the outer part of the bay, could indicate an ontogenetic
368 movement from the estuary to the adjacent continental shelf (CS) waters as fish grow
369 (Vicentini and Araújo 2003). Our isotopic data corroborate the investigation conducted on
370 whitemouth croaker from Sepetiba Bay (an estuary close to Guanabara Bay), which
371 concluded that juvenile fish remain in the shallower parts of the bay, before moving to CS
372 waters as they grow (Costa and Araújo, 2003). A diagram summarising the hypothesised
373 whitemouth croaker habitat shift in GB over the fish growth can be found in Fig. 5.

374 The isotopic niche width of medium-sized fish from GB showed a strong seasonal pattern, as
375 ellipses for this size class were much bigger in winter 2014 than in either summer 2013 or
376 summer 2014 (Fig. 4). This suggests that medium-sized fish exploit a greater range of food
377 resources in winter than in summer. Differences in isotopic composition between winter and
378 summer fish in this size class may be linked to changes in local food availability, seasonal
379 changes in food preferences or that fish move to feed elsewhere (Vizzini and Mazzola, 2003).
380 Studies have shown that during the spring-summer, GB is under the influence of the South
381 Atlantic Central Water (SACW) (Silva-Jr *et al.*, 2016) and this nutrient-enriched water mass
382 is known to influence the richness, diversity and abundance of organisms (DeLeo and Pires-
383 Vanin, 2006; Soares-Gomes and Pires-Vanin, 2003; Bonecker *et al.*, 2014). In addition,
384 Silva-Jr *et al.* (2016) has shown that demersal ichthyofauna richness increases in GB during
385 the summer. Besides, a study on feeding ecology of whitemouth croaker in a coastal area in
386 close proximity to the mouth of Guanabara Bay by Morasche *et al.* (2010) observed the
387 lowest variety of food items to occur in winter. Therefore, one would expect whitemouth
388 croaker to occupy a smaller isotopic niche in winter than in summer, which is in direct
389 contradiction with our results. In this context, it is unlikely that differences in food items
390 alone drive the observed niche shift patterns, and habitat-related variability probably plays a
391 major role. One possible explanation would be that in winter, GB does not offer a favourable
392 trophic environment for medium-sized fish, as prey density and diversity are low. Therefore,
393 these fish may forage over a wider range of habitats, explaining their high isotopic niche size
394 in winter. Conversely, in summer, prey species are abundant inside the estuary and, therefore,
395 medium-sized whitemouth croaker might restrict their feeding habitat to feeding mostly
396 inside the bay, which would explain the comparatively smaller summer isotopic niche.
397 Interestingly, a seasonal shift in niche width was much less marked in small fish, where a
398 difference could only be seen for one of the set of ellipses (*i.e.* winter 2014; Fig. 4). Since

small fish are likely feed inside the estuary all year long, this might be another indicator that habitat use could be a major driver of isotopic niche width in the studied population. However, regardless of the relative importance of trophic- and habitat-related factors, changes in the SACW influence on the estuary are likely to explain much of the observed seasonal differences.

In addition to seasonal differences in isotopic niche size, differences in standard ellipse position and area were observed between medium-sized whitemouth croakers sampled in GB in summer 2013 and 2014. These trends were largely linked to differences in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (Fig. 2), which showed a 2.6‰-shift between the two years. Such a shift might provide evidence for feeding at different trophic levels for the same species and environment in two consecutive summers since the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ shift between diet and consumer is usually assumed to be from +2.6 to +3.4‰ (McCutchan *et al.* 2003). This apparent trophic level shift could be explained by the species-richness increase driven by the higher SACW influence on Guanabara Bay in 2013. Using the temperature-salinity characteristics, Emery and Meinck (1986) suggested that the SACW is a water body with temperatures between 5 and 18 °C, and salinity varying from 34.3 to 35.8 S. However, Miranda (1985) suggests temperatures between 8 and 20 °C for the SACW in Southeastern Brazil. Despite the lack of consensus regarding the temperature, the water temperature in GB was lower than 18 °C in summer 2013 (November 2013 to February 2014), while this thermal pattern was not repeated in the following summer (data obtained from the Hydrobiology laboratory - Federal University of Rio de Janeiro), corroborating the hypothesis of a stronger SACW influence in summer 2013. It is known that isotopic changes do not immediately follow diet alterations (Peterson and Fry, 1987); however, recent experimental work has found $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ half-life estimates to be around 23.9 days and trophic discrimination of 3.42 ± 0.42 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰) for estuarine whitemouth croaker (Mont'Alverne *et al.*, 2016), which suggests that the abovementioned

stronger influence of the SACW in November 2013 could be a valid explanation for the higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values observed for that summer (*i.e.* December 2013 to March 2014). Moreover, the stronger influence of the SACW in 2013 could also explain why the observed trend of seasonal niche size reduction in summer was stronger in this year (Fig. 4). However, these results have to be taken with caution, as baseline shifts in isotopic composition (*i.e.* temporal and/or spatial changes in isotopic composition of producers at the base of the food webs, which might be reflected in higher consumers through cascading effects) could have a strong influence on measured values, complicating data interpretation (Boecklen *et al.*, 2011).

5. Conclusions

Stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen and sulfur constitute valuable tools that can be applied to provide more information regarding the ontogenetic movements of whitemouth croaker. Changes in body size imply changes in many ecological features, such as diet, trophic status and dispersal ability, which in turn may have consequences on the food web structure and/or dynamics. The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values generated by the present study support evidence that juvenile and adult whitemouth croakers in GB occupy different niches, with juveniles feeding mostly in estuaries and inshore bays while adults appear to feed in multiple habitats located both inshore and in continental shelf waters, with marked seasonal trends. This suggests that adult and juvenile whitemouth croakers should be treated as different components of the food web for a better management of fisheries in Guanabara Bay, Rio de Janeiro state, Brazil. Besides that, a better understanding of the habitats that serve as nurseries for juveniles and the factors that drives the estuarine dependence will improve more info for conservation, management and rehabilitation of estuarine habitats to sustain coastal fisheries of these areas.

449

450 Acknowledgements

451 We thank the referees for their very helpful comments which have helped to improve the
452 manuscript. This work was supported by the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and
453 Technological Development (CNPq) through a Universal Call CNPq-Project from PRD
454 (proc. 456614/2014-1), as well as through a scientific cooperation established between CNPq
455 (proc. 490279/2013-9) and FNRS (Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique, from Belgium), in
456 which a PDE (proc. 203074/2014-9) and a Ph.D. (Ph.D. sandwich, proc. 203091/2014-0)
457 grants were included for both the post-doctoral and the doctoral investigations of PRD and
458 ACP, respectively, at ULg in 2015. This study was also supported by a scientific cooperation
459 established between the Rio de Janeiro State Government Research Agency (FAPERJ, proc.
460 E-26/170.018/2015) and the Research Councils UK (RCUK) in the context of the Newton
461 Fund. IDM was supported by the Newton Fund Programme by a grant awarded by NERC
462 (NE/N000889/1) on behalf of the Research Councils UK. GL is a F.R.S.-FNRS research
463 associate, and KD is a Senior F.R.S.-FNRS research associate. MV has research grants from
464 FAPERJ (proc. E-26/201.334/2014). OM and PRD have research grants from CNPq (PQ-1A
465 proc. 306703/2014-9 and PQ-2 proc. 306847/2016-7, respectively). This is MARE
466 publication nr. XXX.

467

468 References

469

- 470 Albuquerque, C.Q., Muelbert, J.H., and Sampaio, L.A.N. 2009. Early developmental aspects
471 and validation of daily growth increments in otoliths of *Micropogonias furnieri* (Pisces,
472 Sciaenidae) larvae reared in laboratory. Panam. J. Aquat. Sci. 4(3): 259–266.
- 473 Albuquerque, C.Q., Miekeley, N., and Muelbert, J.H. 2010. Whitemouth croaker,

474 *Micropogonias furnieri*, trapped in a freshwater coastal lagoon: A natural comparison of
 475 freshwater and marine influences on otolith chemistry. *Neotrop. Ichthyol.* **8**(2): 311–
 476 320. doi:10.1590/S1679-62252010000200009.

477 Albuquerque, C.Q., Miekeley, N., Muelbert, J.H., Walther, B.D., and Jaureguizar, A.J. 2012.
 478 Estuarine dependency in a marine fish evaluated with otolith chemistry. *Mar. Biol.*
 479 **159**(10): 2229–2239. doi:10.1007/s00227-012-2007-5.

480 Andrade-Tubino, M.F. De, Fiore-correia, L.B., and Vianna, M. 2009. Morphometrics and
 481 length structure of *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823) (Perciformes, Sciaenidae)
 482 in Guanabara Bay, state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *B. Inst. Pesca*, **35**(2): 239–246.
 483 doi:ftp://ftp.sp.gov.br/ftppesca/35_2_239-246.pdf.

484 Baptista-Neto, J.A., Peixoto, T.C.S., Smith, B.J., Mcalister, J.J., Patchineelam, S.M.,
 485 Patchineelam, S.R., and Fonseca, E.M. 2013. Geochronology and heavy metal flux to
 486 Guanabara Bay, Rio de Janeiro state: A preliminary study. *An. Acad. Bras. Cienc.* **85**(4):
 487 1317–1327. doi:10.1590/0001-3765201394612.

488 Bisi, T.L., Dorneles, P.R., Lailson-Brito, J., Lepoint, G., Azevedo, A.D.F., Flach, L., Malm,
 489 O., and Das, K. 2013. Trophic relationships and habitat preferences of delphinids from
 490 the southeastern Brazilian coast determined by carbon and nitrogen stable isotope
 491 composition. *PLoS One*, **8**(12): 8–15. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082205.

492 Bisi, T.L., Lepoint, G., Azevedo, A.D.F., Dorneles, P.R., Flach, L., Das, K., Malm, O., and
 493 Lailson-Brito, J. 2012. Trophic relationships and mercury biomagnification in Brazilian
 494 tropical coastal food webs. *Ecol. Indic.* **18**: 291–302. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.11.015.

495 Boecklen, W.J., Yarnes, C.T., Cook, B.A., and James, A.C. 2011. On the use of stable
 496 isotopes in trophic ecology. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **42**(1): 411–440.
 497 doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144726.

498 Bonecker, S.L.C., Araujo, A.V. de, Carvalho, P.F. de, Dias, C. de O., Fernandes, L.F.L.,

499 Migotto, A.E., and Oliveira, O.M.P. 2014. Horizontal and vertical distribution of
 500 mesozooplankton species richness and composition down to 2300 m in the southwest
 501 Atlantic Ocean. *Soc. Bras. Zool.* **31**(5): 445–462. doi: 10.1590/S1984-
 502 46702014000500005.

503 Botto, F., Gaitán, E., Mianzan, H., Acha, M., Giberto, D., Schiariti, A., and Iribarne, O. 2011.
 504 Origin of resources and trophic pathways in a large SW Atlantic estuary: An evaluation
 505 using stable isotopes. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **92**(1): 70–77.
 506 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2010.12.014.

507 Boutton, T.W. 1991. Chapter 11 - Stable Carbon Isotope Ratios of Natural Materials: II.
 508 Atmospheric, Terrestrial, Marine, and Freshwater Environments. *In: Coleman, D.C., and*
 509 *Fry, F. Carbon Isotope Techniques. Academic Press, New York. pp 173–185.*
 510 Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-179730-0.50016-3>.

511 Carneiro, M.H., Castro, P.M.G., Tutui, S.L.S.; and Bastos, G.C.C. 2005. *In: Rossi, C.L.W.,*
 512 *Cergole M.C., and Ávila-da-Silva, A.O. Análise das Principais Pescarias Comerciais da*
 513 *Região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil: Dinâmica Populacional das Espécies em Exploração.*
 514 *Série Documentos Revizee-Score Sul, IOUSP: 94-100 p. [In Portuguese with an English*
 515 *abstract].*

516 Catanzaro, L.F., Baptista Neto, J.A., Guimaraes, M.S.D. and Silva, C.G. 2004. Distinctive
 517 sedimentary processes in Guanabara Bay – SE/Brazil, based on the analysis of echo-
 518 character (7.0 kHz). *Rev. Bras. Geof.* **22**(1): 69-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-261X2004000100006)
 519 [261X2004000100006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-261X2004000100006).

520 Chao, N.L., Frédou, F.L., Haimovici, M., Peres, M.B., Polidoro, B., Raseira, M., Subirá, R.,
 521 and Carpenter, K. 2015. A popular and potentially sustainable fishery resource under
 522 pressure–extinction risk and conservation of Brazilian Sciaenidae (Teleostei:
 523 Perciformes). *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **4**: 117–126. doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2015.06.002.

524 Connolly, R.M., Guest, M.A., Melville, A.J., and Oakes, J.M. 2004. Sulfur stable isotopes
 525 separate producers in marine food-web analysis. *Oecologia*, **138**(2): 161–167.
 526 doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1415-0.

527 Coplen, T.B. 2011. Guidelines and recommended terms for expression of stable-isotope-ratio
 528 and gas-ratio measurement results. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **25**: 2538–2560.
 529 doi:10.1002/rcm.5129.

530 Costa, M.R. and Araújo, F.G. 2003. Use of a tropical bay in southeastern Brazil by juvenile
 531 and subadult *Micropogonias furnieri* (Perciformes, Sciaenidae). *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **60**:
 532 268–277. doi:10.1016/S1054–3139(02)00272-2.

533 Costa, M.D.P., Muelbert, J.H., Moraes, L.E., Vieira, J.P., and Castello, J.P. 2014. Estuarine
 534 early life stage habitat occupancy patterns of whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias*
 535 *furnieri* (Desmarest, 1830) from the Patos Lagoon, Brazil. *Fish. Res.* **160**: 77–84.
 536 doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2013.10.025.

537 Das, K., Lepoint, G., Loizeau, V., Debacker, V., Dauby, P., and Bouqueneau, J.M. 2000.
 538 Tuna and dolphin associations in the North-east Atlantic: evidence of different
 539 ecological niches from stable isotope and heavy metal measurements. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*
 540 **40**(2): 102–109. doi:10.1016/S0025-326X(99)00178-2.

541 DeLeo, F.C., and Pires-Vanin, A.M.S. 2006. Benthic megafauna communities under the
 542 influence of the South Atlantic Central Water intrusion onto the Brazilian SE shelf: A
 543 comparison between an upwelling and a non-upwelling ecosystem. *J. Marine Syst.* **60**:
 544 268–284. doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.02.002.

545 Denadai, M.R., Santos, F.B., Bessa, E., Fernandez, W.S., Luvisaro, C., and Turra, A. 2015.
 546 Feeding habits of whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Perciformes: Sciaenidae)
 547 in Caraguatatuba Bay, southeastern Brazil. *Braz. J. Oceanogr.* **63**(2): 125–134.
 548 doi:10.1590/S1679-87592015084706302.

549 DeNiro, M.J., and Epstein, S. 1981. Influence of diet on the distribution of nitrogen isotopes
 550 in animal. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.* **45**: 341–351. doi:10.1016/0016-7037(81)90244-1.
 551 Dorneles, P.R., Sanz, P., Eppe, G., Azevedo, A.F., Bertozzi, C.P., Martínez, M.A., Secchi,
 552 E.S., Barbosa, L.A, Cremer, Alonso. M.B., Torres, J.P.M., Lailson-Brito, J., Malm, O.,
 553 Eljarrat. E., Barceló, D., Das, K. 2013. *Sci. Total Environ.* **463–464**: 309–318.
 554 Elliot, M., Whitfield, A.K., Potter, I.C., Blaber, S.J.M., Cyrus, D.P., Nordlie, F.G. and
 555 Harrison, T.D. 2007. The guild approach to categorizing estuarine fish assemblages: a
 556 global review. *Fish Fish.* **8**: 241–268.
 557 Emery, W.J., and Meinck, J. 1986. Global water masses: summary and review. *Oceanol.*
 558 *Acta.* **9**(4): 383-391.
 559 FAO, 2017. Food and Agriculture Organization. FishStatJ - Software for fishery statistical
 560 time series. Version 3.02.0. Global fishery and aquaculture production statistics. Rome.
 561 Available at: <http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/software/fishstatj/en>. Accessed in
 562 March 2017.
 563 Figueiredo, G.M., and Vieira, J.P. 2005. Diel feeding, daily food consumption and the
 564 predatory impact of whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*) in an estuarine
 565 environment. *Mar. Ecol.* **26**(2): 130–139. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0485.2005.00048.x.
 566 FIPERJ - Fundação Instituto de Pesca do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. Relatório anual. 2015.
 567 174 p. Available at:
 568 http://www.fiperj.rj.gov.br/fiperj_imagens/arquivos/revistarelatorios2015.pdf. Accessed
 569 in November 2016. [In Portuguese].
 570 Flaherty, E.A., and Ben-David, M. 2010. Overlap and partitioning of the ecological and
 571 isotopic niches. *Oikos*, **119**(9): 1409–1416. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18259.x.
 572 Gillanders B.M., Able, K.W., Brown, J.A., Eggleston, D.B., and Sheridan, P.F. 2003.
 573 Evidence of connectivity between juvenile and adult habitats for mobile marine fauna:

574 an important component of nurseries. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. **247**:281–295. doi:
 575 10.3354/meps247281.

576 Gillanders, B.M. 2009. Tools for Studying Biological Marine Ecosystem Interactions---
 577 Natural and Artificial Tags. In Ecological Connectivity among Tropical Coastal
 578 Ecosystems. Edited by I. Nagelkerken. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. pp. 457–492.
 579 doi:10.1007/978-90-481-2406-0_13.

580 Herzka, S.Z. 2005. Assessing connectivity of estuarine fishes based on stable isotope ratio
 581 analysis. Estuar. Coast. Shelf S. **64**(1): 58–69. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2005.02.006.

582 Hydrobiology Laboratory - Guanabara Bay monitoring - Federal University of Rio de
 583 Janeiro. Available at <http://www.biologia.ufrj.br/labs/hidrobiologia/baia.html>. Accessed
 584 in December 2016. [In Portuguese].

585 Hobson, K.A. 1999. Tracing origin and migration of wildlife using stable isotopes: a review.
 586 Oecologia, **120**(3): 314–326. doi:10.1007/s004420050865.

587 IBGE/DGC. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Base Cartográfica Contínua, ao
 588 milionésimo - BCIM 2016: 5ª versão digital. Rio de Janeiro, 2016. Available at:
 589 http://downloads.ibge.gov.br/downloads_geociencias.htm. Accessed in June 2017. [In
 590 Portuguese].

591 Isaac-Nahum, V.J., and Vazzoler, A.E.A.M. 1987. Biologia reprodutiva de *Micropogonias*
 592 *furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823) (Teleostei, Sciaenidae). 2. Relação gonadosomática,
 593 comprimento e peso dos ovários como indicadores do período de desova. Bol. do Inst.
 594 Ocean. São Paulo, **35**(2): 123-134. [In Portuguese with an English abstract].

595 Isaac, V.J. 1988. Synopsis of biological data on the whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias*
 596 *furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823). FAO Fish Synop. 150, 35pp.

597 Jablonski, S., Azevedo, A.D.F., and Moreira, L.H.A. 2006. Fisheries and conflicts in
 598 Guanabara Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Braz. Arch. Biol. Techn. **49**(1): 79–91.

doi:10.1590/S1516-89132006000100010.

Jackson, A.L., Inger, R., Parnell, A.C., and Bearhop, S. 2011. Comparing isotopic niche widths among and within communities: SIBER - Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **80**(3): 595–602. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01806.x.

Kalas, F.A., Carreira, R.S., Macko, S.A., Angela, A.L. 2009. Molecular and isotopic characterization of the particulate organic matter from an eutrophic coastal bay in SE Brazil. *Cont. Shelf Res.* **29**(19): 2293–2302. Elsevier. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2009.09.007.

Kjerfve, B., Ribeiro, C.H.A., Dias, G.T.M., Filippo, A.M., and Quaresma, V. da S. 1997. Oceanographic characteristics of an impacted coastal bay: Baía de Guanahara, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Cont. Shelf Res.* **17**(13): 1609–1643. doi:10.1016/S0278-4343(97)00028-9.

Kolasinski, J., Frouin, P., Sallon, A., Rogers, K., Bruggemann, H.J., and Potier, M. 2009. Feeding ecology and ontogenetic dietary shift of yellowstripe goatfish *Mulloidichthys flavolineatus* (Mullidae) at Reunion Island, SW Indian ocean. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **386**: 181–195. doi:10.3354/meps08081.

Layman, C.A. and Allgeier, J.E. 2012. Characterizing trophic ecology of generalist consumers: a case study of the invasive lionfish in The Bahamas. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **448**:131–141. doi: 10.3354/meps09511.

Macchi, G.J., Acha, E.M., and Militelli, M.I. 2003. Seasonal egg production of whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*) in the Río de la Plata estuary, Argentina-Uruguay. *Fish. Bull.* **101**(2): 332–342.

MacKenzie, K.M., Palmer, M.R., Moore, A., Ibbotson, A.T., Beaumont, W.R.C., Poulter, D.J.S., and Trueman, C.N. 2011. Locations of marine animals revealed by carbon isotopes. *Sci. Rep.* 1, Article number: 21. doi:10.1038/srep00021.

Martins, J.M.A., Silva, T.S.M., Fernandes, A.M., Massone, C.G. and Carreira, R.S. 2016.

Characterization of particulate organic matter in a Guanabara Bay coastal ocean transect using elemental, isotopic and molecular markers. *Panam. J. Aquat. Sci.* **11**(4):276-291.

McCutchan Jr, J.H., Lewis Jr, W.M., Kendall, C., and McGrath, C.C. 2003. Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur. *Oikos*, **102**(February): 378–390. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12098.x.

Mendoza-Carranza, M., and Vieira, J. 2008. Whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823) feeding strategies across four southern Brazilian estuaries. *Aquat. Ecol.* **42**(1): 83–93. doi:10.1007/s10452-007-9084-4.

Middelburg, J.J. 2014. Stable isotopes dissect aquatic food webs from the top to the bottom. *Biogeosciences*, **11**(8): 2357–2371. doi:10.5194/bg-11-2357-2014.

Miranda, L.B. 1985. Forma de correlação T-S de massa de água das regiões costeira e oceânica entre o Cabo de São Tomé (RJ) e a Ilha de São Sebastião (SP), *Bol. do Inst. Oceanogr. São Paulo*, **33**(2):105-119. [In Portuguese with an English abstract].

Molisani, M.M., Kjerfve, B., Barreto, R., and Lacerda, L.D. 2007. Land-sea mercury transport through a modified watershed, SE Brazil. *Water Res.* **41**(9): 1929–1938. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2007.02.007.

Mont’Alverne, R., Jardine, T.D., Pereyra, P.E.R., Oliveira, M.C.L.M., Medeiros, R.S., Sampaio, L.A., Tesser, M.B., and Garcia, A.M. 2016. Elemental turnover rates and isotopic discrimination in a euryhaline fish reared under different salinities: Implications for movement studies. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **480**: 36–44. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2016.03.021.

Morasche, M.S., Tubino, R. de A., and Monteiro-Neto, C. 2010. Dieta da corvina, *Micropogonias furnieri* na região costeira de Itaipu, Niterói – RJ. *Arq. Ciências do Mar*, **43**(2): 87–95. [In Portuguese with an English abstract].

Muelbert, J.H., and Weiss, G. 1991. Abundance and distribution of fish larvae in the channel

649 area of the Patos Lagoon estuary, Brazil. In: Larval fish recruitment and research in the
 650 Americas. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS, **95**: 43–54.

651 Mulato, I.P., Corrêa, B., and Vianna, M. 2015. Distribuição espaço-temporal de
 652 *Micropogonias furnieri* (Perciformes, Sciaenidae) em um estuário tropical no sudeste do
 653 Brasil. Bol. do Inst. Pesca São Paulo, **41**(1): 1–18. [In Portuguese with an English
 654 abstract].

655 Nelson, J.S. 2006. Fishes of the world. Fourth Edi. Wiley, Canada.

656 Newsome, S.D., Del Rio, C.M., Bearhop, S., and Phillips, D.L. 2007. A niche for isotopic
 657 ecology. Front. Ecol. Environ. **5**(8): 429–436. doi: 10.1890/060150.1.

658 Olsson, D., Forni, F., Saona, G., and Norbis, W. 2013. Temporal feeding habits of the
 659 whitemouth croaker. Cienc. Mar. **39**: 265–276.

660 Paranhos, R., and Mayr, L.M. 1993. Seasonal patterns of temperature and salinity in
 661 Guanabara Bay, Brazil. Fresen. Environ. Bull. **2**(11): 647-52.

662 Peterson, B.J. and Fry, B. 1987. Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.
 663 **18** (1): 293–320. doi:10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.001453.

664 Peterson, B.J., and Howarth, R.W. 1987. Sulfur, carbon, and nitrogen isotopes used to trace
 665 organic matter flow in the salt-marsh estuaries of Sapelo Island, Georgia. Limnol.
 666 Oceanogr. **32**(6): 1195–1213. doi:10.4319/lo.1987.32.6.1195.

667 Puchnick-Legat, A., and Levy, J.A. 2006. Genetic structure of Brazilian populations of white
 668 mouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Perciformes: Sciaenidae). Braz. Arch. Biol.
 669 Techn. **49**(3): 429–439. doi:10.1590/S1516-89132006000400011.

670 R Core Team. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation
 671 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org>.

672 Reis-Santos, P., Tanner, S.E., França, S., Vasconcelos, R.P., Gillanders, B.M., and Cabral,
 673 H.N. 2015. Connectivity within estuaries: An otolith chemistry and muscle stable

674 isotope approach. *Ocean Coast. Manag.* **118** (May 2015): 51–59. doi:
675 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.04.012.

676 Santos, R. da S., Silva, J.P. do C., da Costa, M.R., and Araújo, F.G. 2015. O tamanho de
677 primeira maturação como parâmetro para estabelecimento de tamanho mínimo de
678 captura para corvina no sudeste do Brasil. *Bol. do Inst. Pesca São paulo*, **41**(3): 507–
679 518. [In Portuguese with an English abstract].

680 Silva-Jr, D.R., Paranhos, R., and Vianna, M. 2016. Spatial patterns of distribution and the
681 influence of seasonal and abiotic factors on demersal ichthyofauna in an estuarine
682 tropical bay. *J. Fish Biol.* **89**(1): 821–846. doi:10.1111/jfb.13033.

683 Soares-Gomes, A., and Pires-Vanin, A.M.S. 2003. Padrões de abundância, riqueza e
684 diversidade de moluscos bivalves na plataforma continental ao largo de Ubatuba, São
685 Paulo, Brasil: uma comparação metodológica. *Rev. Bras. Zool.* **20**(4): 717–725.
686 doi:10.1590/S0101-81752003000400027. [In Portuguese with an English abstract].

687 Syvaranta, J., Lensu, A., Marjomaki, T.J., Oksanen, S. and Jones, R. I. 2013. An empirical
688 evaluation of the utility of convex hull and standard ellipse areas for assessing
689 population niche widths from stable isotope data. *PLOS one.* **8**(2): e56094. Doi:
690 10.1371/journal.pone.0056094

691 Thode, H.G. 1991. Sulphur isotopes in nature and the environment: An overview. *In*:
692 Krouse, H.R., and Grinenko, V.A. Stable isotopes: natural and anthropogenic sulphur in
693 the environment. Chapter 1, pp 1–26.

694 Thorrold, S.R., Jones, G.P., Hellberg, M.E., Burton, R.S., Swearer, S.E., Neigel, J.E.,
695 Morgan, S.G., and Warner, R.R. 2002. Quantifying larval retention and connectivity in
696 marine populations with artificial and natural markers. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **70**(1): 291–308.

697 Vasconcellos, A.V. de, Lima, D., Bonhomme, F., Vianna, M., and Solé-Cava, A.M. 2015.
698 Genetic population structure of the commercially most important demersal fish in the

699 Southwest Atlantic: The whitemouth croaker (*Micropogonias furnieri*). Fish. Res. **167**:
700 333–337. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2015.03.008.

701 Vasconcellos, M., and Haimovici, M. 2006. Status of white croaker *Micropogonias furnieri*
702 exploited in southern Brazil according to alternative hypotheses of stock discreteness.
703 Fish. Res. **80**(2–3): 196–202. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2006.04.016.

704 Vazzoler, A.E.A. M. 1971. Diversificação fisiológica de *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest,
705 1882) ao Sul de Cabo Frio, Brasil. Bol. do Inst. Ocean. São Paulo, **20**(2): 1–70. [In
706 Portuguese with an English abstract].

707 Vazzoler, A.E.A.M., Phan, V.N., Demasi, W.M.T., Suzuki, H., and Gomes, V. 1985.
708 *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823): estudo quali-quantitativo da variação
709 ontogenética do padrão eletroforético de proteínas gerais do cristalino. Bol. do Inst.
710 Ocean. São Paulo **33**(2): 121–137. [In Portuguese with an English abstract].

711 Vazzoler, A.E.A.M., and Phan, V.N. 1989. Padrões eletroforéticos de proteínas gerais de
712 cristalino de *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823) da costa sudeste-sul do Brasil:
713 estudo populacional. Bol. Inst. Ocean. São Paulo, **37**(1): 21–28. [In Portuguese with an
714 English abstract].

715 Vazzoler, A.E.A.M. 1991. Síntese sobre a ecologia da corvina no Brasil. Atlantica, **13**(1): 55-
716 74. [In Portuguese with an English abstract].

717 Vicentini, R.N., and Araújo, F.G. 2003. Sex ratio and size structure of *Micropogonias*
718 *furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823) (Perciformes, Sciaenidae) in Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro,
719 Brazil. Braz. J. Biol. **63**(4): 559–566. doi:10.1590/S1519-69842003000400003.

720 Vizzini, S., and Mazzola, A. 2003. Seasonal variations in the stable carbon and nitrogen
721 isotope ratios ($^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$) of primary producers and consumers in a western
722 Mediterranean coastal lagoon. Mar. Biol. **142**(5): 1009–1018. doi: 10.1007/s00227-003-
723 1027-6.

725

726

727

728

729

Table 1: Number of specimens (n) from Guanabara Bay analysed for size class (S: < 30 cm; M: 30 – 60 cm and L: \geq 60 cm) and period.

Size class (cm)	Period		
	Summer/13	Winter/14	Summer/14
S (< 30)	-	65	47
M (30 - 60)	18	43	28
L (> 60)	-	6	-
Total	18	114	75

730

731

Figure captions

Figure 1: Map of Guanabara Bay, in Rio de Janeiro (RJ) state, Brazil. The circled red dot in the bottom right insert shows the position of Guanabara Bay in Brazil.


Figure 2: $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (A), $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (B) and $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ (C) of whitemouth croakers from Guanabara Bay. Error bars are the full range of the data, box limits are the upper and lower quartiles, solid bars are medians, and crosses are means. Each fish group code includes the sampling season (S: summer, W: winter), sampling year (2013 or 2014), and the fish size class (S: < 30 cm, M: 30-60 cm, L: > 60 cm).

Figure 3: Isotopic niches of whitemouth croakers from Guanabara Bay built using carbon and nitrogen (A) and carbon and sulfur (B) data. Symbols are individual measurements, and solid lines represent the bivariate standard ellipses associated to each fish group. Group codes includes the sampling season (S: summer, W: winter), sampling year (2013 or 2014), and the fish size class (S: < 30 cm, M: 30-60 cm, L: > 60 cm).

Figure 4: Boxplots of model-estimated bivariate standard area (SEA_B) for ellipses built using carbon and nitrogen (A) and carbon and sulfur (B) stable isotope ratios. Dark, median and light grey boxes are respectively the 50%, 75% and 95% credibility intervals of the probability of density function distributions of the model solutions, and black dots are the modes of these distributions. Red dots represent the standard ellipse areas computed using a frequentist algorithm adapted for small sample sizes (SEA_C). Each fish group code includes the sampling season (S: summer, W: winter), sampling year (2013 or 2014), and the fish size class (S: < 30 cm, M: 30-60 cm, L: > 60 cm).


Figure 5: Diagram summarising the hypothesised whitemouth croaker habitat shift in Guanabara Bay over the fish growth. Three regions are indicated: (A) inner bay; (B) entrance of the bay; (C) continental shelf waters.


763 **Figure 1**




764

765





771 **Figure 4**



772

773

